Barack Obama Presents: Iran’s Vassal State, Iraq

August 25, 2015 – San Francisco, CA – PipeLineNews.org – One of the most serious aspects of the expansion of ISIS’s Caliphate in Syria and Iraq has been the response of Iran, the largest state sponsor of terrorism worldwide.

If one were to page through any of the standard print media sources, the NYT, WashPost, USA Today etc., one would get the impression that for all its faults, the ISF [Iraq’s largely pretend military force] is at least providing some level of resistance to the unchecked advance of ISIS, though the scale of these efforts is moderate at best.

What makes the occasional foray or counterstrike by the ISF significant is that it takes place in an environment where Iran has largely assumed effective control of most aspects of the Iraqi military, something these same news reports will not reveal.

The point here is that when one reads, “ISF strikes ISIS target,” it should be translated into “With the backing and logistical support of Iran, the ISF [read, Shia militias] did this or that…”

The result of this has been striking, dominating the military sector, Iran has quickly moved into a position of controlling the Iraqi oil industry.

On a cultural level the effect is more palpable with for example Iranian religious iconography dominating the visual plane in cities such as Baghdad.

Also left unnoted by the MSM has been the Iraqi response, dozens of demonstrations are taking place in Central to Southeast Iraq, specifically the cities of Baquba, Baghdad, Karbala, An Najaf, Samawa, eastward to Basra.

As Al-Aribiya News reports:

"After five weeks of demonstrations against corruption in Iraq, the demands of the Iraqi demonstrators have widened to include the cessation of the Iranian interference in Iraq. "Iran out, out". With these chants, the Iraqi demonstrators started to express their rejection to the Iranian interference in the country and to their control over the majority of the State refineries and institutions according to the description of protesters, who confirmed that the shapes of the Iranian interference in the country increased during the rule of former Prime Minister
Nouri Al-Maliki. In the same era, corruption was spread in the institutions, especially in the ministries of defense and interior, which topped the list of corruption, which prompted the street to up-rise and go out in many provinces, especially the southern ones, which ignited the first spark. The protests against the Iranian intervention were reflected in the demonstrators’ demand to lift the pictures of Iranian religious authorities and clerics from the streets, which were clear in the streets of Baghdad and other provinces.” [see, New Iraqi Chant, Iran Out!]

We have been noting the increasing Iranian penetration into Iraq for some time:

“While much was made by the administration of the “liberation” of Tikrit a month ago, the majority of the U.S. media failed to note that it was Iranian backed Shias who did the fighting. The net outcome being an increased presence of Iranian military power in a country which is openly being subverted by the Ayatollocracy. Sunni local forces were begging the U.S. for weapons to no avail, so they were driven into the ready hands of the Shia militias which are at this point have become just another of Iran’s proxy armies.” [source, Ramadi Falls to ISIS, Anbar in Chaos and US “Coalition” Flies 7 Sorties, Total , PipeLineNews.org]

The current public demonstrations are merely the latest blowback regarding the decision by Team Obama to use Iran’s military as a proxy force to do what the administration refuses to do, defend the territory secured at such high cost to America in Iraq. It’s also causing other unintended consequences aside from massively empowering Iran’s theocracy, it’s planting the seed of hatred against America in Iraqis who clearly see Barack Obama’s hand behind the country’s new Iranian oppressors.

End note:

For further information please take link to Institute for the Study of War
Raymond Ibrahim On The Persecution Of Christians In The Middle East

August 13, 2015 – San Francisco, CA – PipeLineNews.org – Raymond Ibrahim, an authority regarding the history of the Middle East, Shillman Fellow at the David Horowitz Freedom Center and a fluent Arabic speaker, recently delivered a speech on Capitol Hill dealing with the horrific persecution of minorities, especially Christians, throughout the Muslim world, but particularly in the Middle East.

Mr. Ibrahim is also the proprietor of Islam Translated.

The event at which Mr. Ibrahim spoke was the Sixth Annual Coptic Solidarity Conference and his remarks dealt primarily with the way the legacy media has and continues to manipulate reporting regarding Islam, especially its supremacist ideology which drives the global jihad.

A selected partial transcript:

“What I’d like to focus on is the media, the so-called mainstream media in the West…You have to understand that the media as we know it today…has played an enormous role in shaping the world view of people, their epistemology if you will.

…and it’s just not news that I’m talking about, it’s just watching a TV show, it’s watching any kind of program, reality shows…all these things work to condition and to further a particular narrative that many people in the West have embraced as being real, and reality.

[referring to the “chaos and the death and destruction” facing Christians and other minorities in the Middle East]…and you wonder that this is not even remotely being covered…Most people [the general public] trust the media and they assume if there’s something very important going on in the world then surely you know that CNN will tell me about it, or BBC or Fox News or the New York Times.

…but today the media, I think is playing a political role, they’re giving you the facts that fit in with the narrative that I was discussing earlier…a narrative that’s been built, and has become part and parcel of the world view of Western people and Americans in particular in this context

…First of all, I would argue that the reason today you know about the horrific atrocities [committed by]…ISIS…you know about them not because the media wants you to know about them, you know about it because they want you to know about it, because they videotape it and they disseminate it and you see it
…So this is not because the media has been doing their job…and I guarantee you that if it wasn’t for the Islamic State doing what they are doing and showing it to the world you would still not know about it, you would only know only a very small percentage and it would be very hygienic, it would not at all be graphic.

…And the media if anything has responded by giving us a plethora of editorials trying to convince us that what ISIS is doing is not Islamic. So, that has been the media’s actual response in the context of what is happening with ISIS

But it’s even worse than that because sometimes the media lies straight out and I will give you one example. The 21 Coptic Christians who were kidnapped and abducted and eventually beheaded in Libya…they were kidnapped apparently in two different groups…one group was 13 people and another was 8 on two different occasions. When the 13 were kidnapped, a few days later the BBC issued a report, and I’m going to quote it, saying, ‘13 Coptic Christian workers from Egypt have been freed after being seized in Libya an official said.’ And the whole report actually goes on in this way.

And then a few weeks later we got the video of their being beheaded.

So not only does the media, you need to be aware, whitewash things or omit things they actually actively put out false information as in this case. To me it’s just shocking that they made it sound like these people had been freed, it’s not a problem forget about it and then you see them being beheaded a few weeks later…”

We believe that Mr. Ibrahims's observations are penetrative.

Importantly, they help to provide some context when it comes to understanding how the Western media actively works to inculcate the public into the message, or narrative, which the press considers ideologically useful, rather than what is really happening regarding the Islamic jihad.

This is particularly true as it applies to the Muslim persecution of Christians and Jews, the dimensions of which genuinely constitute a genocide.
Chief Clinton Aide Huma Abedin, Target Of Criminal Investigation

August 13, 2015 – San Francisco, CA – PipeLineNews.org – Senator Chuck Grassley [R-IA] is pushing Team Obama for clarification regarding a criminal probe involving Hillary Clinton’s top aide while she was Secretary of State.

Quoting from the text of the Senator’s communication with FBI Director Comey and Secretary of State Kerry, the Senator has requested a copy of Abedin’s SF-312 nondisclosure agreement:

“Grassley is seeking…the classified information nondisclosure agreements signed by Clinton, Huma Abedin and Cheryl Mills…” [source, Charles Grassley Senate website]

Ms. Abedin has apparently been the subject of a criminal probe for nearly two years, reflecting the attitude of defiance with which the Obama administration has met the myriad ongoing investigations targeting it.

“Grassley’s staff has learned the State Department Office of Inspector General transmitted communication to the FBI in October 2013, placing it on notice that the inspector general’s investigation was no longer a preliminary matter but a full-fledged criminal investigation into Abedin.”

Directly mentioning SF-312:

“…please produce all records relating to the instructions the State Department provided to Mr. Kendall regarding his obligation to secure the thumb drive and all classified emails in his possession. In addition, the Committee requests a copy of the SF-312 (Classified Information Nondisclosure Agreement) signed by Secretary Clinton, Ms. Huma Abedin, and Ms. Cheryl Mills.”

Ms. Abedin faces many areas of inquiry:

“The Judiciary Committee has learned that the Office of Inspector General for the Department of State (State OIG) opened an investigation involving potential criminal conduct by Ms. Huma Abedin…the investigation examined the circumstances of Ms. Abedin’s work arrangements, leave status, and conversion from a full-time Department of State employee to a Special Government Employee (SGE) and Senior Advisor to former Secretary Clinton.”
A piece published today at National Review [see, Brendon Bordelon, Will Huma Abedin Survive Clinton Scandal Vortex?] raises the issue of Abedin’s deep involvement, a central role actually, in the multiple unfolding controversies involving the former Secretary of State and presidential candidate.

“Between June 2012 and February 2013, Abedin was granted “special government employee” status, enabling her to function both as Clinton’s right-hand woman at State and as a consultant to Teneo. Concerned that Abedin may have leveraged her high-level government position to benefit Teneo’s clients, in August 2013 Grassley requested that the State Department turn over all official communications between Abedin and Band. That request remains unfulfilled, despite the Judiciary Committee chairman’s numerous inquiries over the past two years. Last week, the senator said the department wouldn’t even return his staff’s phone calls.”

While the internal investigations of Abedin are more than problematic, perhaps of more importance are the former Clinton aide’s ties, both familial and personal to the Muslim Brotherhood, the Egyptian terrorist organization.

We have written about this topic numerous times on these pages, perhaps most comprehensively over a year ago in, The Huma Abedin Question Must Be Revisited As Part Of Benghazi Investigation.

In that piece we quoted the concerns about Abedin’s background expressed by Frank Gaffney, who is President of the Center for Security Policy, a DC based, national security think tank.

“Curiously absent from this reexamination of Mrs. Clinton’s record, however, is any mention of the role played in it by Huma Abedin. She’s the longtime advisor to Hillary with family and personal ties to the Muslim Brotherhood. That’s the preeminent jihadist organization sworn to our destruction, a cause advanced by each of these strategic errors.” [source, Frank Gaffney, What About Huma?, Center for Security Policy]

Further along in the above referenced piece we noted, aside from Abedin’s numerous familial ties to elements of the Muslim Brotherhood, that she while in college served on the Executive Board of the Muslim Students Association, a known Muslim Brotherhood front group.
For example:

David Horowitz’ Discover the Networks, confirms this: "From 1997 until sometime before early 1999, Abedin, while still interning at the White House, was an executive board member of George Washington University’s (GWU) Muslim Students Association (MSA), heading the organization’s “Social Committee.”

Wikipedia, certainly no friend of the right, states that the MSA was created by the Muslim Brotherhood and Pakistan’s Muslim extremist group, Jamaat-e-Islami.

“The first MSA National chapter was formed in 1963 at the campus of University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign (UIUC) by international students. The initial leadership came from Arabic-speaking and Urdu-speaking members with guidance from students of the Egyptian-based Muslim Brotherhood and Pakistan-based Jamaati Islami movements.” [Wiki, The Muslim Students’ Association]

According to former Federal Prosecutor Andrew C. McCarthy, who successfully prosecuted the “Blind Sheikh,” aka Omar Abdel Rahman:

“Established in the early sixties, the MSA is the original foundation of the Muslim Brotherhood’s American infrastructure. It now has hundreds of chapters grooming Islamists across the United States and Canada. Patrick Poole has recounted the numerous MSA leaders who have graduated to violent jihadism. They include — and this is just to name a few of many - Wael Jalaidan, a founder of al-Qaeda; Abdurahman Alamoudi, a leading financier of al-Qaeda who was eventually convicted in a murder plot; and Anwar al-Awlaki, the al-Qaeda leader who counseled the 9/11 hijackers and, before finally being killed in Yemen last year, was implicated in sundry jihadist plots, including the Fort Hood massacre and the
attempt to bomb a plane over Detroit on Christmas Day 2009.” [source, Andrew C. McCarthy, Understanding the Muslim Brotherhood, National Review]

That the legacy media is actively engaged in ignoring and/or suppressing any of this information is standard operating procedure. That said, the case of Huma Abedin should provide more than ample notice as to the extraordinary national security threat a Clinton presidency would represent.
Team Obama Sides With Terrorists In Lawsuit Brought By U.S. Terror Victims

August 12, 2015 – San Francisco, CA – PipeLineNews.org – Another 24 hours, another foreign policy outrage by this administration…today learning what we have suspected would be the case since the matter surfaced years ago.

President Obama, via his corrupt DOJ and acting on behalf of the State Department has officially intervened in the enforcement of a legal judgment involving compensatory damages of $218.5M awarded against the PLO and the Palestinian Authority.

The legal action stems from an anti-terror jury decision rendered earlier this year:

“The filing comes in a case in New York City, where a jury this year awarded $218.5 million to Americans affected by the attacks in a lawsuit brought against the Palestine Liberation Organization and the Palestinian Authority. That amount is automatically tripled under the Anti-Terrorism Act, lawyers have said.” [source, Eric Tucker, US intervenes in Palestinian terror attack suit, expresses concern about large bond payments, AP via U.S. News & World Report]

Obama is asserting that the requirement by the presiding judge that the guilty parties post bond each month in partial payment towards a full settlement could undermine the evanescent “peace process” in Israel and possibly destabilize the PA terrorist government of Mahmoud Abbas [aka Abu Mazen].

Team Obama is laughably asserting that if the murderous PA/PLO are forced to compensate victims of the ongoing campaign of Islamic jihad that “several decades” of U.S. foreign policy will be undermined.

This irrespective of the fact that over the last two decades, the terrorists have shown no interest in pursuing anything resembling peace or of even recognizing the Jewish state’s right to exist.

Obama is taking this path because he chose sides a long time ago, aligning himself with the PLO/PA/HAMAS and other ME terror entities in the never-ending jihad against the state of Israel.
In this action by the Obama administration we cannot help but see the hand of Rashid Khalidi, a long-time Obama confidante, about whom Mr. Obama has stated, had a strong impact on his viewpoint regarding the Middle East.

In an outrageous demonstration of this administration’s skewed perspective, the argument [a red-herring since the PA has more than enough money to pay terrorists while they reside in Israeli jails] that the prospect of a terrorist government “falling” trumps the rights of aggrieved and innocent Americans speaks very loudly as to Mr. Obama’s misplaced loyalties.

According to Cinnamon Stillwell [see, Rashid Khalidi, Campus Watch & Middle East Studies, Middle East Forum]

“But this was not the first time that Khalidi's PLO past had come back to haunt him. In 2004, Campus Watch (campus-watch.org), a project of the Middle East Forum, broke the story with a Washington Times article by Asaf Romirowsky and Jonathan Calt Harris titled, "Arafat Minion as Professor." Among other indicators, the authors pointed to a June 9, 1982, Thomas L. Friedman column in the New York Times describing Khalidi as "a director of the Palestinian press agency." Adding further confirmation, Middle East studies historian Martin Kramer, who has written extensively about Khalidi, recently augmented the compendium of attributions linking him to the PLO.”

Sadly this most recent action by the Obama administration, siding with terrorist entities against the interests of U.S. victims of Islamic jihad, is no longer shocking or even surprising.
Marxist Foaming Mouth Alert: Soros’ MoveOn Bots Go After Schumer On Iran

August 10, 2015 – San Francisco, CA – PipeLineNews.org – The Soros puppet group, MoveOn, has taken after Senator Chuck Schumer [D-NY] with a vengeance since his announcement last week of opposition to Team Obama’s “agreement” with the terrorist Iranian regime.

Schumer is not one of the group’s favorite Democrats, having had the temerity to vote for Operation Iraqi Freedom – that is before he came out against it when the slogging got a bit rough, then using all of the now to be expected leftist tricks to undermine the war effort, the cause of innumerable additional casualties in the conflict.

In focus is a fundraising email disguised as a “petition,” It doesn’t appear that one can sign the “pledge” without contributing to the group, at least this author found it not possible.

As to the text of MoveOn’s hysterical effort, please consider the below partial transcript:

“We could be starting down the path to a new war in the Middle East…New York Democratic Senator Chuck Schumer is to blame. This isn't hyperbole…Schumer has declared his public opposition to the Iran deal…a solid and verifiable diplomatic agreement that is the only way other than war to cut off Iran's pathway to a nuclear weapon.

Republicans - like those in last night's ten-ring circus of a debate - have made defeating the Obama administration's Iran deal their top priority… That's why MoveOn members are pushing forward with an unprecedented donor strike - to get individual donors to pledge to withhold a total of $10 million in donations from any Democrat who joins Sen. Schumer…”

And on and on and on…

As a fundraising method, this is typical of the Marxist/Progressive template, creating bogeymen where they don’t exist [characterizing Schumer as anything but the model of a totally loyal Democrat hack is absurd] whipping up the anti-Americanism and then leveraging that into stuffing organizational coffers.

Of note, Schumer’s list of big campaign contributors consists of a who’s who of New York City Wall Street powerbrokers, investment banking houses, international legal firms, earning hundreds of millions of dollars annually.
While groups like MoveOn pretend to represent “the people,” [in the process duping untold thousands, who of course thoroughly deserve being fleeced] in actuality they serve the DNC crony capitalists.

The Marxist anthem, “from each according to their ability…to each according to their need,” comes to mind here.

MoveOn undoubtedly has the ability to run a shell-game separating its members from their cash while desperately needing to maintain its phony street cred as it bows before the same corporate giants against which they nominally stand.

This is a game of exquisite balance, one at which the organization excels.
Brit Jihadist Anjem Choudary Busted For Terror Support

August 9, 2015 – San Francisco, CA – PipeLineNews.org – On Wednesday, August 5, Imam Anjem Choudary - a long-time UK supporter of Islamic terrorism – along with fellow jihadist Mahmoud Rahman, were charged with providing support to ISIS and jailed.

“We have concluded that there is sufficient evidence and it is in the public interest to prosecute Anjem Choudary and Mohammed Rahman for inviting support for Isil, a proscribed terrorist organisation, between 29 June 2014 and 6 March this year. Each man is charged with one offence contrary to section 12 of the Terrorism Act 2000. It is alleged that Anjem Choudary and Mohammed Rahman invited support for Isis in individual lectures which were subsequently published online.” [source, Preacher Anjem Choudary charged with encouraging support for Islamic State, UK Guardian]

Choudary’s record of supporting jihad while availing himself of all the benefits [literally] of UK citizenship has been remarkable.

Since the late 1990s he has been involved in nearly every aspect of terror promotion, including operations which seem to have been within the network of Osama bin-Laden.

According to Discover the Networks:

"Choudary in 1999 was chief recruiter in Great Britain for the International Islamic Front (IIF), a group persuading and assisting young British Muslims to undergo “guns and live ammunition” combat training and then go overseas to fight Jihad (“Holy War”) in Chechnya and the Balkans. The head and chief sponsor of IIF in England was the founder of Al Muhajiroun, Sheikh Omar Bakri Mohammad. Osama bin Laden in 1998 issued a fatwa that declared it a Muslim’s “individual duty” to kill Americans and he created a new organization called the International (or World) Islamic Front for Jihad Against Jews and Crusaders. Sheikh Bakri Mohammad described himself as the head of the “political wing” of IIF with no “structural link” to bin Laden, according to the India newspaper Indian Express, but the Sheikh acknowledged that “the military wing of the International Islamic Front is run by Osama bin Laden.” [source, Anjem Choudary, Discover the Networks]

As the BBC reported in 2003:
“Anti-terrorist police officers have raided the headquarters of the radical Islamic group al-Muhajiroun. Other raids have taken place at the homes of the group's leaders, Omar Bakri Muhammad in Edmonton, north-east London, and Anjem Choudary in Tottenham, north London. Scotland Yard said search warrants to enter the properties in London and also Essex were executed under the Terrorism Act.” [source, Police raid Islamic group: Scotland Yard said search warrants to enter the properties in London and also Essex were executed under the Terrorism Act, BBC]

Al Muhajiroun was subsequently banned as a terrorist organization in 2005 and Omar Bakri fled Britain from which he is now barred from re-entry. The group resurfaced again under the guise of Islam4UK which has also been banned as a terrorist group.

Choudary is unapologetic, an anti-Semite and clearly a permanent terrorist threat to the security of the UK because of his open jihadism. If Britain still had a system of justice Choudary would have already had an appointment with a brick wall and be pushing up daisies somewhere from an un-marked grave.
Obama and the New Shari’a Compliant Oath of Allegiance

August 6, 2015 – San Francisco, CA –
PipeLineNews.org – According to a disturbing report just published by Middle East expert, Raymond Ibrahim, Team O has quietly, behind the scenes [the climate in which he is most comfortable, it appears] changed the Oath of Allegiance, required as part of gaining legal citizenship, materially altering it to accommodate the tens of thousands of fundamentalist Muslims with which he is flooding the country:

“The Obama administration recently made changes to the Oath of Allegiance to the United States in a manner very conducive to Sharia, or Islamic law. On July 21, the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) announced some "modifications" to the Oath of Allegiance which immigrants must take before becoming naturalized. The original oath required incoming citizens to declare that they will “bear arms on behalf of the United States” and “perform noncombatant service in the Armed Forces of the United States” when required by the law.”

[source, Raymond Ibrahim, Obama ‘Modifies’ U.S. Oath of Allegiance According to Islamic Law, Islam Translated]

The effect of these changes are many, but two are of primary concern:

1. There can be no question that the modification in the Oath were made to allow Islamists free access to citizenship without [even if it is only with mere words, which we know in Islamic ideology mean nothing when dealing with kafir societies] having to declare some level of commitment to the defense of the United States.

2. It creates a two-tier system of citizenship where those completing the process of naturalization have all the rights but fewer responsibilities to their country than do native born.

That there has been no pushback and that it falls on people outside of the alleged opposition party to discuss these serious matters reflects the growing divide between official DC and what it must regard as the lumpenproletariat in flyover country.

Mr. Ibrahim explains some of the implications of this stealth move by the president using the example of jihadist Maj. Nidal Hassan:

The perfectly fitting story of Nidal Hassan - the U.S. army major and “observant Muslim who prayed daily” but then turned murderer - comes to mind and is illustrative. A pious Muslim, Hasan seemed a “regular American,” even if he was leading a double life—
American Army major and psychiatrist by day, financial supporter of jihadi groups and associate of terrorists by night. However, when time came for this American soldier to “bear arms on behalf of the United States” - to quote the original Oath of Allegiance—against fellow Muslims, things got ugly: he went on a shooting spree in Fort Hood, killing thirteen Americans, including one pregnant woman in 2009. Much of Hasan’s behavior is grounded in the Islamic doctrine of Loyalty and Enmity. According to this essential teaching, Muslims must always.”

In doing a quick Google News search it seems that not a single legacy media source has run this story, as a matter of fact what has been published has largely been due to the efforts of Mr. Ibrahim, whose piece also appears in The American Thinker.

With the 2016 campaign kicking into high gear this evening with the first and highly anticipated Republican debate, the question remains as to whether any of the candidates will start addressing the existential threat which is looming due to Team Obama’s Blitzkrieg against traditional American culture.

Absent the realization that addressing what really threatens the future of America is not going to be found in policy wonkish legislative nipping and tucking but rather in a full-on frontal assault against those who seek to destroy the U.S. from within, the upcoming campaign rhetoric will prove of little probative worth and entirely hollow.
HUGE: Leftist American Jewish Committee Opposes Obama’s Iran Deal

August 5, 2015 – San Francisco, CA – PipeLineNews.org – The Obama administration got pole-axed today by a very surprising source, the American Jewish Committee [AJC].

The AJC is arguably the most influential Jewish advocacy group in the United States, having been created in 1906.

“When the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action…AJC issued a press release indicating that we would first study the full text and its implications, and then take a position on the deal…Over the past three weeks, AJC engaged in a very intensive…process of external consultations and internal deliberations…During this effort, we [met]…privately with…Secretary of State John Kerry…Under Secretary of State Wendy Sherman…We also…[met]…with Democratic and Republican Members of Congress; diplomats from Europe, the Arab world, and Israel; and respected analysts knowledgeable about both nuclear diplomacy and Iran-related matters…[we participated]…in discussions…with President Barack Obama and Secretary of Energy Ernest Moniz…

In the end, AJC’s leadership concluded overwhelmingly that we must oppose this deal.

Much as we respect those in the P5+1, led by the United States… there are too many risks, concerns, and ambiguities…By abandoning the earlier negotiating posture…the P5+1 has indeed validated Iran’s future status as a nuclear threshold state, a point that President Obama himself acknowledged in a media interview.” [source, AJC Statement on Iran Deal]

AJC’s left leaning activism is well known, one of the reasons why the organization’s opinions on issues is so eagerly sought out by the legacy media, seeking support for whatever editorial position it collectively takes.

Hence today’s announcement is really a serious impediment to Team Obama’s precipitous and dangerous Iranian “deal.”

Already the effect is being seen with key Jewish Democrats publicly rejecting the pact.

“But since yesterday, that number [opposing the deal] has nearly doubled. And, amazingly, the latest three are all Jewish members of Congress. That’s a surprise because in their effort to appear impartial, many Jews in public office bend over backwards to avoid the appearance that they are voting a particular way because they are Jewish, regardless of their actual assessment of the matter. Few if any other minority group members act this way.” [source, Lori Lowenthal Marcus,
Nearing Double Digits in the Democrat Defection from Bad Iran Deal, Jewish Press

This by no means signals that the deal will be rejected by Congress, or more importantly that the two bodies will vote to override a certain veto, but this is a very serious indication that Team O’s “legacy” Iran charade might be on the verge of falling apart.
AP Continues As Terror Equivocator

August 5, 2015 – San Francisco, CA – PipeLineNews.org – Using the shop worn journalegerdemain for which it has become infamous, AP is pushing a story suggesting that Team Obama’s minimalist and so far ineffective efforts to thwart the growth of ISIS is killing hundreds of civilians.

“U.S.- led airstrikes targeting the Islamic State group in Iraq and Syria have likely killed at least 459 civilians over the past year, a report by an independent monitoring group said Monday. The report by Airwars, a project aimed at tracking the international airstrikes targeting the extremists, said it believed 57 specific strikes killed civilians and caused 48 suspected "friendly fire" deaths. It said the strikes have killed more than 15,000 Islamic State militants.” [source, Vivian Salama and Zeina Karam, Report: US-led strikes against Islamic State in Iraq, Syria have killed at least 459 civilians, AP via U.S. News & World Report]

The website with which AP is casting its lot is www.airwars.org which is run by an anti-American Brit jurno whose job it seems is to add some sort of “empirical” evidence to flesh out claims made by – for example, Iran – that America is the Great Satan. Demonstrative of AP's timidity when it comes to Islamism, it simply can't muster the fortitude to call the ISIS butchers terrorists.

The airwars website is full of the type of unverifiable pap that we have come to expect when sourced from largely ME media, suggestions of wanton devastation always highlighted by poignant images of dead kids.

It can’t be stressed enough that the information on the website is nigh impossible to confirm because the sources are simply making claims, often in Arabic to further complicate the matter of fact checking.

The real story here is not the self-impressed site proprietor’s silly conceptual war against the U.S.; it’s AP accepting a “report” [as if the word itself connotes authority] without really having any way of cross-checking or confirming the information being disseminated.

Thus continues the process in which AP has become - over an extended period of time - a joke even within the context of lefty jurno. [We must note that in this world of dying print jurno and the consequent paring of staffs, the content of entire newspapers now often seems comprised entirely of information gleaned from just a few wire services, AP being predominant among them.]

Regarding the honesty of AP’s reportage, the below example dates back to 2002 from a source not generally associated with being rabidly right-wing:

There are others, such as a “Pulitzer Prize” winning photog for AP being fired after he was outed for doctoring images.

“A Pulitzer Prize-winning photographer has been fired by the Associated Press news agency after admitting he had doctored one of his photos from the Syrian civil war. The photographer, Narciso Contreras had manipulated a photo of a Syrian rebel by using a common Photoshop technique called 'cloning' in order to remove a fellow reporter's camera out of the picture, before sending it to an AP photo desk.” [source, Luke Garratt, *Pulitzer Prize-winning photographer fired after admitting that he doctored Syrian war rebel picture*, UK Daily Mail]

In the case of Salama and Karam’s piece, the difficulty exposed is more nuanced. While it may be the case that the U.S. is bombing the hell out of civilians in its pretend war against ISIS, the data is in large part based upon supposition and highlighted by a lack of reasonable curiosity and/or skepticism regarding its truthfulness.

Yet this fuzzy information, which a reasonable editor would normally challenge on numerous grounds, is used to create what is the standard AP meme, that of an out-of-control U.S., wreaking havoc on the world.

Ignored entirely, and this is the case with the overwhelming majority of the legacy media, is that there is no effort whatsoever to contextualize the story.

While America stands accused of accidentally(?) killing approximately 500 civilians throughout the period of the West’s soft-ball pushback against ISIS, the terrorists have - with great forethought - has killed at least 50 times that number during just part of 2014 as well as brutalized untold thousands more.

“A United Nations report highlighting the human rights violations of the Islamic State's jihadist campaign in Iraq found that while over 24,000 Iraqi civilians have been injured or killed by ISIS in the first eight months of 2014.” [source, Samuel Smith, *UN Report on ISIS: 24,000 Killed, Injured by Islamic State; Children Used as Soldiers, Women Sold as Sex Slaves*, Christian Post]

What AP and its ilk inordinately fails to mention regarding military operations by Western nations and particularly Israel, is that the civilized world goes to extraordinary lengths, to the point of endangering their own soldiers, to avoid unintended or collateral civilian deaths.
On the other hand ISIS' methodical blood feast is entirely intentional and on constant display, accompanied by a level of depraved barbarity that is beyond comprehension to most.
Shots Fired At Heavily Fortified Camp Shelby Mississippi

August 4, 2015 – San Francisco, CA – PipeLineNews.org – Local law enforcement responded to a distress call this afternoon after reports filtered in that shots had been fired at Camp Shelby Joint Forces Training Center. [see, Ryan Moore, Two Suspects Sought After Shots Fired At Camp Shelby, WDAM]

As we go to press it is believed that two men are currently under interrogation by Mississippi Highway Patrol.

According to Fox News, the suspects are white males, possibly driving a small Ford truck emblazoned with the words “broken arrow” and that large scale military training is currently underway at the installation:

“Lt. Col Dierdre Smith at Camp Shelby public affairs told Fox News Tuesday afternoon she "can't confirm or deny anything," but that about 4,500 soldiers are conducting a large training exercise at Camp Shelby this week.”

Camp Shelby is the largest state owned military base [in America, encompassing over 130k acres and is the home of the United States Army Forces Command [FORSCOM]. The camp was “federalized in 2004. [see, Wiki, Camp Shelby]
Muslim Harassment of Christian Asylum Seekers and Converts to Christianity

By EMERSON VERMAAT

August 3, 2015 – Amersfoort, Netherlands – PipeLineNews.org - NRC Handelsblad, a leading liberal newspaper in the Netherlands, reported on July 22, 2015, that there have been “clashes” between Christian and Muslim asylum seekers, especially during the month of Ramadan. Christians complain that Muslims intimidate and threaten them. Syrian Christians told NRC Handelsbad: “We don’t discuss these problems with the management (of the center), because we are afraid of our fellow asylum seekers.”

They also don’t want their names to be published by the press because of possible repercussions for them. Police had to intervene in the asylum seekers center in the Dutch city of Dronten after a huge fight had broken out between Syrian Muslims and Eritrean Christians. Witnesses claim that the Muslims cried “Allahu Akhbar – Allah is great.”

Nadeem, a 30-year-old Christian asylum seeker from Pakistan, filmed how Muslims in the asylum seekers center of Gilze en Rijen used the center’s common kitchen as a space for prayer – in clear violation of the rules. There are at least one hundred Muslims and only three Christians in one building, Nadeem says. His own roommate, a Muslim, was very aggressive and made frequent threats against him. Nadeem decided to leave the center because he feared that Syrian Muslims would otherwise kill him. He did not believe the management of the center was able or willing to deal with this problem.

In October, 2010 EO TV in the Netherlands interviewed Christian asylum seekers who complained that they were persecuted by Muslim asylum seekers, whose travails are depicted in the below video:

Mostafa Talaie, a 43-year-old asylum seeker from Iran, was murdered near the asylum seekers center of Oisterwijk on February 18, 2013. After his conversion to Christianity in May 2012, he received several death threats, possibly from fellow asylum seekers or from the Iranian intelligence and security service which is very active in Europe. In 2012 Talaie was living in the asylum seekers center of Noord Burgum in the Northern province of Friesland. There he became an active member of the local Free Reformed Church. Rev. Marco E. Buitenhuis, the pastor of that church, told me in May 2013 that Mostafa’s conversion to Christianity was real. He was a committed Christian. That same pastor also told me that several Muslim converts who visited his church had been intimidated by
Muslim asylum seekers, notably by Iraqis and Afghans. “Iranian asylum seekers who were in the same asylum seekers center as Mostafa have been threatened by Muslim asylum seekers from Afghanistan,” Rev. Buitenhuis says. “They told them it is allowed to spill the blood of Christians. Both Afghans and Iranians speak Farsi.” “I know that an Iranian asylum seeker who is a member of my church has now gone into hiding because he is scared that he is no longer safe here. Mind you, these people flee to Holland hoping to find peace and security here, but once they convert to Christianity, they don’t feel safe anymore.” “Especially Iraqi and Afghan asylum seekers do not accept that a Muslim decides to convert to Christianity. Three Iraqi Christians who were baptized in my own church were confronted with hostility and violence in the last two and a half years.”

Harassment of Christian asylum seekers by arrogant Muslims who claim that their religion is far superior to Christianity and Judaism, is not new. According to an investigation conducted in 2011 at least two-thirds of the Christian asylum seekers in Holland indicated that they were scared of their fellow asylum seekers who were Muslims. This is also a serious problem in Belgium, Germany, Austria, Denmark, Sweden, Norway and Britain, although local migration lobbies are not willing to discuss these issues.

Raymond Ibrahim, a specialist on the persecution of Christians by Muslims, writes about Denmark and quotes a study from Niels Eriksen Nyman who reported: “Christian asylum seekers are repeatedly exposed to everything from harassment to threats and physical abuse by other refugees in the asylum centers, simply because they have converted from Islam to Christianity.” “An eight year old Christian at the Center Sandholm was bullied and beaten by larger Arab boys on their way to school. Now the boy no longer dares to go to school.”

Europe is importing the conflicts from the Muslim world

With the massive influx of Muslim immigrants in Europe, we are also importing the conflicts from the Muslim world. This poses a very serious threat to our peace and security. “What is happening in asylum seekers centers is simply a continuation of Middle Eastern Islamic culture and tradition in Europe: they are making people scary and are intimidating them, and occasionally behaving in a violent way,” writes Prof. Dr. Afshin Ellian from Leiden University. Afshin Ellian arrived in the Netherlands as a political refugee from Iran in 1989. He became a vocal critic of Islam. He studied law in the Netherlands and is now a university professor. He receives frequent death threats from radical Muslims and needs body guards who protect him. “A Christian refugee fled because he was afraid of violent Islamists (in his home country), but now he must fear fundamentalist Muslims in tolerant Holland,” Ellian writes about Nadeem, that Christian refugee from Pakistan. “These Muslims learned from the prophet Mohammed to intimidate and use violence until they are powerful enough.”

In April 2015 Muslims from the Ivory Coast, Mali and Senegal threw 12 Christians overboard after their rubber boat had left Libya. Their boat was intercepted by an Italian
navy vessel and the Italian police subsequently arrested 15 Muslims on suspicion of murdering the Christians at sea.

This year about 100,000 asylum seekers entered Hungary, a Schengen country. Local authorities cannot cope with this unprecedented influx. Thousands of asylum seekers now occupy railway stations or live in parks. Most of them are Muslims from Syria, Iraq, Afghanistan, Albania, Bosnia and Kosovo who want to travel to richer countries, notably to Austria, Germany, Holland and Scandinavia. The desperate Hungarians are now belatedly building a huge fence along the Hungarian border with Serbia.

It is expected that by the end of this year about 400,000 asylum seekers from Afghanistan, Iraq, Syria, Albania, Kosovo and Eritrea will have applied for asylum in Germany. Economic migrants from Balkan countries are not entitled at all to asylum, yet it takes some time before the overburdened migration authorities are able to reject all their applications. It is costing the German tax payer millions of Euros every month. German cities cannot cope with this massive influx and need a lot of extra money. Horst Seehofer, a German politician from Bavaria, says that this influx must be stopped by effectively tackling the massive abuse that is being made of the asylum system and by speeding up deportation for rejected asylum seekers.

Germany is also a hotspot of Islamic extremism. More than 600 Muslim jihadists from Germany joined very dangerous terrorist organizations in Syria and Iraq. Most of these radical German Muslims joined ISIS, according to a recent German Security Service report. At least nine of these jihadists committed suicide attacks.

There have been violent clashes between Kurdish immigrants and ISIS sympathizers. Radical Muslims and Hamas sympathizers attacked Jews and synagogues. In the city of Essen, for example, a mob of 200 angry Muslims attacked people who were demonstrating against anti-Semitism in July 2014. The police who had to protect these peaceful demonstrators were also attacked. There are 45,000 radical Muslims in Germany alone and their number is growing fast.

Most Syrian, Iraqi, Iranian, Lebanese, Egyptian, Afghan, Pakistani and Somali asylum seekers are anti-Semites. Moreover, in German asylum centers, too, Christians have been attacked by fellow asylum seekers who were Muslims. The German newspaper Die Welt describes a number of dramatic cases in an article on “Christen spüren auch in Deutschland den Hass” (“Also in Germany are Christians confronted by hate”). “In Germany, too, Christians from the Arab world who apply for asylum are subjected to attacks by radical Muslims,” Die Welt reported. “For many the persecution does not stop when they apply for asylum in Germany. Not only do those who are persecuted arrive in Europe, but the extremists take the same route as well. Both groups will often be accommodated in the same asylum seekers center.”

Websites run by criminal migrant traffickers in the Arab world claim that those who are smuggled into Europe are entering a real paradise. They receive a home, lots of money
and also jobs are available to them. Their usually very large families are allowed to join them, the traffickers claim. The best countries to apply for asylum are Germany, the Netherlands, Sweden, Norway, Denmark and Britain.

Reality is often slightly different, though, also in Sweden and Denmark. Most African and Muslim migrants end up in poor suburbs of Europe’s big cities, there are hardly any jobs for them and their frustrated kids don’t finish school and are susceptible to violent crime or radicalization. Too many poorly integrated non-Western migrants have to apply for welfare. The Dutch Central Statistics Office (CBS) reported on July 30, 2015, that 50 to 70 percent of former Muslim asylum seekers live permanently on welfare, with Somalis being the most numerous: seven out of ten are dependent on welfare, to be followed by Eritreans, Syrians, Afghans and Iranians. Among those who are not asylum seekers, the percentage of Turkish and Moroccan Dutchmen who live off welfare is very large. Although many Poles are working in Holland, hardly any of them applied for welfare. It is not very different in Germany, Sweden, Norway and England.

*Emerson Vermaat is an investigative reporter in the Netherlands. Emerson Vermaat website.*

**Sources:**

1. Nadeem's film on [YouTube](http://www.youtube.com).


9. VRT Nieuws (Belgian TV, Channel One), August 1, 2015. (On Hungary.)


The Organization of Islamic Cooperation’s Stealthy “Civilization Jihad”

By WILLIAM MAYER

August 2, 2015 – San Francisco, CA – PipeLineNews.org – As we have maintained since nearly our inception over 15 years ago, the West has been under siege for decades by the Muslim world – the Ummah, or Dar al-Islam if you prefer.

As most Americans intuitively understand, the ideology of Islamism is not, as apologists assert, practiced only by a “tiny minority” of “violent extremists” – who, curiously, all just happen to be Muslim. Rather, its broad acceptance defines it as main-stream to the point of being normative. This is entirely understandable in that the hegemonic nature of this belief system is inextricably locked into the DNA of Islam, as has defined by the Shari’a itself for over 1,400 years.

The high comfort level that many Muslims have with Islamic supremacy and support for violence to extend the faith isn’t a phenomenon which is only relevant outside of the confines of the United States. New research undertaken by the Center for Security Policy – a powerhouse national security think tank located in DC – indicates that approximately 25% of American Muslims support the proposition that, “Violence against Americans here in the United States can be justified as part of the global jihad.” [source, Poll of U.S. Muslims Reveals Ominous Levels Of Support For Islamic Supremacists’ Doctrine of Shariah, Jihad].

A similar percentage responded positively to other questions which indicate support for the establishment of a global caliphate operating under the Shari’a. This should serve as an ominous warning in that assuming there are approximately 2M Muslims living in the U.S., the country faces half-a-million potential domestic mujahideen.

Playing into this pre-violent ideological fervor are dozens of U.S. based highly litigious organizations controlled by the ideology of the terrorist Muslim Brotherhood which have been appointed by the American legacy press as official spokesmen for Islam in America.

This is common knowledge within national security circles and is hence unremarkable to the degree that it requires no further development here.

However there is a far larger strategy being played out internationally where powerful NGOs have been established with the expressed purpose of legislatively muzzling - under
pain of criminal prosecution - any expression of opposition to or criticism of Islamism and/or forced Shari’a compliance.

These groups are largely unknown to most in the West. Unfortunately those who are ignorant of what is playing out in these external forums includes many, if not most members of Congress.

Arguably the most powerful and so-far successful stealthy jihadist group which operates in plain view, but nonetheless under the media’s deficient radar, is the Organization of Islamic Cooperation [OIC], characterized by the Center for Security Policy’s president Frank Gaffney as:

“…a multi-national Muslim mafia. It is 57 states and Palestine that have come together to promote what is fundamentally the agenda known as Shari’a, that is, a totalitarian political, military, legal program that would force all of us…to submit to its barbaric repressive, supremacist agenda…”

The OIC is already incorporated as part of the U.N.’s regimen of world government, sending representatives to and freely participating in the thoroughly corrupt body’s meddling in international matters.

If one could summarize OIC’s ambitious intent, it is - through international treaties - to bind the United States and the West into a series of protocols which would over-ride the freedom of speech and religion provisions in the U.S. Constitution. This would have the effect of criminalizing anything which might be deemed by Muslim fanatics as offensive to Islam, categorizing it as hate speech, or to use the Shari’a defined term, blasphemy.

These codes, odiously and disingenuously proposed to “combat religious intolerance,” would in actuality/practice override the entire corpus of Western law, making it subservient to the dictates of Islamic ideology.

The Obama administration – in a massive act of appeasement [some might conclude, treason] - has been instrumental in furthering OIC’s program, with former Secretary of State Hillary Clinton serving as its lead intermediary, for example working for passage of the U.N.’s resolution 16/18 in 2011 – an international codification defining “crimes” involving “hate speech.”

“In the view of veteran international religious liberty analyst and advocate Elizabeth Kendal resolution 16/18, ‘far from being a breakthrough for free speech … is actually more dangerous than’ the religious defamation resolutions. “Indeed, the strategic shift from defamation to incitement actually advances the OIC’s primary goal: the criminalization of criticism of Islam,” she wrote.” [source, Patrick Poole, Largest Islamic Body in the World Calls for More Anti-Free Speech Laws in Wake of Charlie Hebdo Attack, PJ Media]
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It gets worse…

Before passage of the U.N. resolution in December, Clinton addressed a meeting of the OIC on July 15, 2011.

"I want to applaud the Organization of Islamic Conference and the European Union for helping pass Resolution 16/18 at the Human Rights Council…together we have begun to overcome the false divide that pits religious sensitivities against freedom of expression, and we are pursuing a new approach based on concrete steps to fight intolerance wherever it occurs…The resolution calls upon states to protect freedom of religion, to counter offensive expression through education, interfaith dialogue, and public debate, and to prohibit discrimination, profiling, and hate crimes, but not to criminalize speech unless there is an incitement to imminent violence. We will be looking to all countries to hold themselves accountable and to join us in reporting to the UN’s Office of the High Commissioner of Human Rights on their progress in taking these steps…I have asked our Ambassador-at-Large for Religious Freedom, Suzan Johnson Cook…to invite relevant experts from around the world…to build those muscles of respect and empathy and tolerance that the secretary general referenced. It is essential that we advance this new consensus and strengthen it, both at the United Nations and beyond, in order to avoid a return to the old patterns of division.” [source, U.S. Department of State, Remarks at the Organization of the Islamic Conference (OIC) High-Level Meeting on Combating Religious Intolerance.]

Lost in Clinton’s specious pitch is that within the Shari’a any criticism of Islam or its prophet is subject to violent suppression and/or reprisal. Hence in the strictest sense the operative text of the agreement threatens to destroy U.S. freedom of expression and religious liberty as these corrosive ideas already have largely done in parts of Europe, where “hate criminals” are regularly hauled before civil courts.

Seeing the threat posed by the adoption in international law of “hate crime” legislation an important new study has just been published, The Organization Of Islamic Cooperation’s Jihad On Free Speech.

Authored by Deborah Weiss, Esq., a recognized authority on the matter and related issues, the monograph explores the OIC’s Muslim Brotherhood inspired legal jihad against the West.

Regarding U.N. 6/18 Ms. Weiss sees the same dangers heretofore outlined, stating:

“The OIC applies, moreover, a “consequence-based test” for “offensive” speech that places all responsibility for potentially violent responses on the speaker, while absolving of any responsibility for their actions Muslim individuals who might retaliate violently.” [p. 8]
It is within this context that the Muslim bogeyman of “Islamophobia” is introduced and becomes operative:

“Ever confusing cause with effect, the OIC asserts that Islamophobia is one of the greatest causes of the threat to world peace and global security, rather than concluding that perhaps Islamophobia is the consequence of actions taken by a violent and stealth Islamist movement around the world.” [p. 24]

With such codes already extant internationally, all that stands between the U.S. Constitution and a forcibly Shari’a compliant society is a domestic enforcement mechanism, shards of which already exist, for example Democrat Senator Edward Markey’s S.2219: Hate Crime Reporting Act of 2014 which was rammed through Congress in 2009 by what proved to be fleeting, Democrat majority in both houses.

Concluding this piece, Ms. Weiss provides some perspective of the stakes which are involved in allowing the Islamists’ stealth jihad to cut the throat of America’s founding principles, a clever means of conquering a society from the inside out, using its very freedoms and liberties as a hangman’s noose:

“Freedom is not the normal state of the world. It is the exception…[it]…does not have to occur in a violent coup. It can be lost slowly, due the gradual erosion of values, societal complacency and the incremental chipping away at laws that protect freedom, as well as the passage of laws that destroy it…The first stage is self-censorship. It begins with people societal pressure urging people to use “sensitive speech”, “politically correct speech” or “responsible speech”…authoritative institutions issue directives, even if they don’t constitute legal prohibitions.

...next...create civil laws or regulations that prohibit free expression. This can take the form of legislation, such as “hate speech” laws or such laws can be applied by government agencies that adopt their own regulations...whether or not those regulations comport with the nation’s constitution.

...The last step in the process is the criminalization of speech. In this instance, prohibited speech is deemed an offense against the State and can be prosecuted. Those who are charged with criminal speech in the West, face the possibility of fines or imprisonment. In totalitarian countries as well as Islamic theocracies, the punishments are even harsher, resulting in jail, flogging, or even execution...” [p. 38]

At this point we must note the real horror of such a process playing out in the United States. Under this administration America has literally become a post-constitutional society with the CIC issuing dozens upon dozens of illegal executive orders, which carry the force of law, absent court or legislative action to the contrary.
The Framers of the Constitution saw and provided for methods [covered primarily in the idea of checks and balances between executive, legislative and judicial branches of government] designed to stop such usurpations of power which in the case of the Obama presidency are rapidly leading to a nascent totalitarian state.

What these men couldn’t have foreseen is the lack of an opposition party or pushback by legislative factions intent upon protecting their turf. Absent enforcement, the rule of law does not exist and it is this existential threat which an increasingly nihilistic America and a demoralized West now faces.